

Frances Lange (SBN: 304892)
flange@sanderslaw.group
Craig Sanders (SBN: 284397)
csanders@sanderslaw.group
SANDERS LAW GROUP
333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402
Uniondale, NY 11553
Telephone: (516) 203-7600

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Jackson Lee,

Plaintiff,

v.

Let's Eat Cake, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No:

**COMPLAINT FOR:
(1) COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT UNDER
17 U.S.C. §501**

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff Jackson Lee ("*Plaintiff*"), by and through his undersigned counsel, for his Complaint against defendant Let's Eat Cake, LLC ("*Defendant*") states and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C §101 *et seq.*

2. Plaintiff created a photograph of German-American model and TV personality Heidi Klum and German guitarist, songwriter and producer Tom Kaulitz dressed up as Shrek characters for Halloween (the "*Photograph*") in which Plaintiff owns the rights and licenses for various uses including online and print publications.

3. Defendant owns and operates a website at darcymagazine.com (the “Website”).

4. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, actively copied and displayed the Photograph on the Website and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff Jackson Lee is an individual who is a citizen of the State of New York and resides in New York County, New York.

6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Let's Eat Cake, LLC is a California limited liability company with a principal place of business at 1267 Willis Street, Suite 200, Redding in Shasta County, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it maintains its principal place of business in California.

9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because Defendant does business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

A. Plaintiff's Copyright Ownership

10. Plaintiff is a professional photographer by trade who is the legal and rightful owner of certain photographs which Plaintiff commercially licenses.

11. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's photograph portfolio.

12. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United States Copyright Office (the “USCO”) which cover many of Plaintiff's

1 photographs while many others are the subject of pending copyright applications.

2 13. Plaintiff's photographs are original, creative works in which Plaintiff
3 owns protectable copyright interests.

4 14. On October 11, 2018, Plaintiff first published the Photograph. A copy
5 of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

6 15. In creating the Photograph, Plaintiff personally selected the subject
7 matter, timing, lighting, angle, perspective, depth, lens and camera equipment used
8 to capture the image and made each and every artistic determination necessary for
9 the creation of the work.

10 16. On January 8, 2019, the Photograph was registered by the USCO under
11 Registration No. VA 2-134-377.

12 17. Plaintiff created the Photograph with the intention of it being used
13 commercially and for the purpose of display and/or public distribution.

14 **B. Defendant's Infringing Activity**

15 18. Defendant is the registered owner of the Website and is responsible for
16 its content.

17 19. Defendant is the operator of the Website and is responsible for its
18 content.

19 20. The Website is a key component of Defendant's popular and lucrative
20 commercial enterprise.

21 21. Upon information and belief, Defendant has not implemented adequate
22 internal policies to verify copyright ownership before content use, indicating a gross
23 negligence in legal compliance, which is essential for a company with Defendant's
24 reach, capabilities, and level of sophistication.

25 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant's internal policies, if any, are
26 either not designed to verify copyright ownership before content use or are
27 systematically ignored, indicating a willful, recurring disregard for copyright
28

1 compliance.

2 23. Defendant's failure to adopt or effectively enforce internal copyright
3 policies, if any, indicates *de facto* willful infringement.

4 24. On or about September 14, 2022, without permission or authorization
5 from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally copied and displayed Defendant displayed the
6 Photograph on the Website as part of an on-line story at URL:
7 <https://darcymagazine.com/couples-halloween-costumes-2022/>. A copy of a
8 screengrab depicting the Infringement is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

9 25. The Photograph was intentionally and volitionally copied and stored by
10 Defendant at URL: [https://www.letseatcake.com/wp-](https://www.letseatcake.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/couples-halloween-costumes-2022-2-150x150.jpg)
11 [content/uploads/2022/09/couples-halloween-costumes-2022-2-150x150.jpg](https://www.letseatcake.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/couples-halloween-costumes-2022-2-150x150.jpg).

12 26. The Infringement is an exact copy of Plaintiff's original image that was
13 directly copied and displayed by Defendant

14 27. Plaintiff first observed the Infringement on October 12, 2022.

15 28. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was copied and displayed
16 by Defendant without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's
17 copyrights in and to the Photograph.

18 29. The Infringement includes a URL ("*Uniform Resource Locator*") for a
19 fixed tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to
20 permit it to be communicated for a period of more than a transitory duration and
21 therefore constitutes a specific infringement.

22 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive
23 role in the content posted on its Website, including, but not limited to copying,
24 posting, selecting, commenting on and displaying images including but not limited
25 to Plaintiff's Photograph.

26 31. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was willfully and
27 volitionally posted to the Website by Defendant.
28

32. Upon information and belief, the Infringement was not posted at the direction of a “user”, as that term is defined in 17 U.S.C. §512(c).

33. Upon information and belief, Defendant was aware of facts or circumstances from which the determination regarding the Infringement was apparent. Defendant cannot claim that it was not aware of the infringing activities, including the specific Infringement which form the basis of this complaint, since such a claim would amount to only willful blindness to the Infringement on the part of Defendant.

34. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringement knowingly and in violation of applicable United States copyright laws.

35. Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability to control and limit the infringing activities on its Website and exercised and/or had the right and ability to exercise such right.

36. Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its Website.

37. Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit directly attributable to the Infringement.

38. Upon information and belief, the Infringement increased traffic to the Website and, in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its business revenue.

39. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful copy of the Photograph on the Website.

40. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.

41. Defendant's use of the Photograph harmed the actual market for the Photograph.

42. Defendant's use of the Photograph, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's potential market for the Photograph.

1 43. On August 7, 2023, Plaintiff, via counsel, served a letter seeking to
2 address the complaints contained herein concerning Defendant's infringement of
3 Plaintiff's rights-protected work.

4 44. Despite Plaintiff's efforts and willingness to address Defendant's
5 infringing activity, the parties failed to resolve the instant matter, and Plaintiff was
6 forced to seek judicial intervention for Defendant's infringing activity.

7 45. As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially
8 harmed.

9 **FIRST COUNT**

10 ***(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)***

11 46. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained
12 in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.

13 47. The Photograph is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns a
14 valid copyright.

15 48. The Photograph is properly registered with the USCO and Plaintiff has
16 complied with all statutory formalities under the Copyright Act and under
17 regulations published by the USCO.

18 49. Plaintiff has not granted Defendant a license or the right to use the
19 Photograph in any manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in
20 the copyright to Defendant.

21 50. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff and in willful
22 violation of Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and
23 illegally copied, reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works
24 copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its
25 copyrights.

26 51. Defendant's reproduction of the Photograph and display of the
27 Photograph constitutes willful copyright infringement.
28

52. Upon information and belief, Defendant willfully infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Photograph in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that Defendant used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, Plaintiff's original and unique Photograph without Plaintiff's consent or authority.

53. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

54. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.

55. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of Plaintiff's copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

JURY DEMAND

56. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed on Plaintiff's rights to the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 *et seq.* and therefore award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- a. finding that Defendant infringed Plaintiff's copyright interest in and to the Photograph by copying and displaying it without a license or consent;

- b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- c. for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- d. for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- e. for pre-judgment interest as permitted by law; and
- f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: July 1, 2025.

SANDERS LAW GROUP

By: /s/ Frances Lange
Frances Lange, Esq.
333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402
Uniondale, NY 11553
Tel: (516) 203-7601
Email: flange@sanderslaw.group
File No.: 128453

Attorneys for Plaintiff